

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,549	12/07/2004	Akihiko Ito	112857-489	6863	
29175 K&L Gates LI	7590 03/25/200	9	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 11	35		GILLIS, BRIAN J		
CHICAGO, II	. 60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2441		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,549	ITO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian J. Gillis	2441		

	Brian J. Gillis	2441					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 7 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory prior for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	ΓE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje		10 133003 101				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		areas and and	TOL 004)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (i	OL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>47-92.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hafara or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	red but does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for a	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet. 13 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Paper No/s)						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Larry D Donaghue/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2454					

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 47, 61, 70, and 84 introduce additional limitations, which would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts the prior art does not teach an information distribution device storing program meta information and content meta information, wherein the content meta information is updated in real time. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Shoff et all in view of Schwalb teaches storing content information in a data structure and updates the information in real time making the content data acquired the latest information (Schwalb, column 3, line 63 - column 4, line 2, column 6, line 34 - column 7, line 52, and column 13, lines 5-22) the data is store at the headend (information distribution device) or the client side EPG (Shoff, column 7, line 13).